1 2	By Supervisors Rolland, Clancy, Burgelis, Gómez-Tom, Martinez, Martin, Taylor (5),	File No. 24-283
3 4	and Coggs-Jones	
5	A RESOLUTION	
6 7	Requesting the Milwaukee County Office of Corporation Council	(OCC) to seek a formal
8	opinion from the Wisconsin Attorney General's Office regardir	. ,
9	Chapter 107 of the Milwaukee County Code of General Ordinan	
10	housing penalty provisions and calling upon the Wisconsin Stat	
11	Wisconsin State Statute 66.1011(1) to specifically include Se	ction 8 vouchers in its
12	definition of "lawful sources of income"	
13		
14		
15	WHEREAS, Milwaukee County is committed to becoming	-
16 17	through racial equity, which includes accessible and affordable h and	iousing to all residents;
18		
19	WHEREAS, the Milwaukee County Housing Authority is f	unded by the U.S.
20	Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) to admin	-
21	tenant-based Housing Choice Voucher Program serving individu	als and families with
22	family income that does not exceed 50 percent of the median inc	come of the county; and
23		
24	WHEREAS, Section 8 is designed to help low-income fan	
25 26	with rent assistance for decent, safe and sanitary housing provid	ted by private owners
20 27	and rental agents; and	
28	WHEREAS, in 2018, the Milwaukee County Board passe	d File No. 18-139
29	amending Section 107.01 of the Milwaukee County Code of Ger	
30	regarding Fair Housing and creating Section 107.02(9) to include	
31	housing assistance" as a protected class, citing powers granted	under Wisconsin State
32	Statute 66.1011; and	
33	WHEREAS, Wisconsin State Statute 66.1011(1) declares	s, "The right of all
34	persons to have equal opportunities for housing regardless of th	
35	disability, sexual orientation, religion, national origin, marital stat	
36 37	victim of domestic abuse, sexual assault, or stalking, lawful sour ancestry"; and	ce of income, age, or
38		
39	WHEREAS, in the case of Knapp v. Eagle Property Mana	
40	U.S. Court of Appeals, Seventh Circuit held that "a Wisconsin st	
41	housing discrimination based on 'lawful source of income' did no	ot include Section 8

vouchers because they were not specifically included in the definition of 'lawful sourceof income' in the Wisconsin code"; and

44

WHEREAS, upon review of Wisconsin law, the Milwaukee County Office of
Corporation Counsel shared a preliminary legal opinion stating that Chapter 107 of the
Milwaukee County Code of General Ordinances related to Section 8 housing
discrimination, specifically the enforcement and penalty provisions, is likely
unenforceable; and

50

51 WHEREAS, it is assumed that the Milwaukee County Office of Corporation 52 Counsel will share a formal legal opinion with the Milwaukee County Board during the 53 March 2024 cycle underscoring its belief that the aforementioned enforcement and 54 penalty provisions are unenforceable; now, therefore,

55

56 BE IT RESOLVED, the Milwaukee County Board of Supervisors requests the 57 Office of Corporation Counsel to immediately seek a formal opinion from the Wisconsin 58 Attorney General's Office regarding the enforceability of Chapter 107 of the Milwaukee 59 County Code of General Ordinances related to Section 8 housing penalty provisions; 60 and

61 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the Milwaukee County Board of Supervisors calls 62 upon the Wisconsin State Legislature to amend Wisconsin State Statute 66.1011(1) to 63 specifically include Section 8 vouchers in its definition of 'lawful sources of income' to 64 eliminate any legal uncertainty about the enforceability of Section 8 housing voucher 65 discrimination penalty provisions in the future; and

66

67 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Milwaukee County Office of Government 68 Affairs staff is authorized and requested to communicate the contents of this resolution 69 to the Wisconsin State Legislature and the Governor of Wisconsin.

MILWAUKEE COUNTY FISCAL NOTE FORM

DAT	E: February	6, 2024	Origir	al Fiscal Note	\boxtimes		
			Subst	itute Fiscal Note			
SUE	seek enfor relate Legis	A resolution requesting the Milwaukee County Office of Corporation Council (OCC) to seek a formal opinion from the Wisconsin Attorney General's Office regarding the enforceability of Chapter 107 of the Milwaukee County Code of General Ordinances related to Section 8 housing penalty provisions and calling upon the Wisconsin State Legislature to amend Wisconsin State Statute 66.1011(1) to specifically include Section 8 vouchers in its definition of "lawful sources of income"					
FISCAL EFFECT:							
\boxtimes	No Direct Cou	nty Fiscal Impact		Increase Capital Exp	oenditures		
		g Staff Time Required		Decrease Capital Ex	penditures		
	•	ating Expenditures leck one of two boxes below)		Increase Capital Re	venues		
	Absorb	ed Within Agency's Budget		Decrease Capital Re	evenues		
	Not Ab	sorbed Within Agency's Budget					
	Decrease Ope	rating Expenditures		Use of contingent fu	nds		
	Increase Operating Revenues						
	Decrease Ope	rating Revenues					

Indicate below the dollar change from budget for any submission that is projected to result in increased/decreased expenditures or revenues in the current year.

	Expenditure or Revenue Category	Current Year	Subsequent Year
Operating Budget	Expenditure	\$0	\$0
	Revenue	\$0	\$0
	Net Cost	\$0	\$0
Capital Improvement	Expenditure	\$0	\$0
Budget	Revenue	\$0	\$0
	Net Cost	\$0	\$0

DESCRIPTION OF FISCAL EFFECT

In the space below, you must provide the following information. Attach additional pages if necessary.

- A. Briefly describe the nature of the action that is being requested or proposed, and the new or changed conditions that would occur if the request or proposal were adopted.
- B. State the direct costs, savings or anticipated revenues associated with the requested or proposed action in the current budget year and how those were calculated.¹ If annualized or subsequent year fiscal impacts are substantially different from current year impacts, then those shall be stated as well. In addition, cite any one-time costs associated with the action, the source of any new or additional revenues (e.g. State, Federal, user fee or private donation), the use of contingent funds, and/or the use of budgeted appropriations due to surpluses or change in purpose required to fund the requested action.
- C. Discuss the budgetary impacts associated with the proposed action in the current year. A statement that sufficient funds are budgeted should be justified with information regarding the amount of budgeted appropriations in the relevant account and whether that amount is sufficient to offset the cost of the requested action. If relevant, discussion of budgetary impacts in subsequent years also shall be discussed. Subsequent year fiscal impacts shall be noted for the entire period in which the requested or proposed action would be implemented when it is reasonable to do so (i.e. a five-year lease agreement shall specify the costs/savings for each of the five years in question). Otherwise, impacts associated with the existing and subsequent budget years should be cited.
- D. Describe any assumptions or interpretations that were utilized to provide the information on this form.
- A. Approval of this resolution requests the Milwaukee County Office of Corporation Council (OCC) to seek a formal opinion from the Wisconsin Attorney General's Office regarding the enforceability of Chapter 107 of the Milwaukee County Code of General Ordinances related to Section 8 housing penalty provisions and calls upon the Wisconsin State Legislature to amend Wisconsin State Statute 66.1011(1) to specifically include Section 8 vouchers in its definition of 'lawful sources of income'. It also authorizes and requests that the Government of Office Affairs communicate the contents of the resolution to the State Legislature and the Governor of Wisconsin.
- B. There are no direct costs associated with this resolution. Additional staff time is necessary to provide a formal legal opinion and communicate the contents as outlined in the resolution.
- C. There are no budgetary impacts associated with the resolution.
- D. No assumptions or interpretations were made.

Department/Prepared By __Bree Bzdawka, Research and Policy Analyst

Authorized Signature	Bree Bzdawka					
Did DAS-Fiscal Staff Re	view?		Yes	\bowtie	No	

Did CBDP Review?² Yes No Not Required

¹ If it is assumed that there is no fiscal impact associated with the requested action, then an explanatory statement that justifies that conclusion shall be provided. If precise impacts cannot be calculated, then an estimate or range should be provided.

² Community Business Development Partners' review is required on all professional service and public work construction contracts.