Jane Doe vs. County of Milwaukee, et al.

13-CV-331

Transcript of the Video Deposition of:

David A. Clarke, Jr.

December 16, 2015



800.899.7222 • www.GramannReporting.com

MILWAUKEE 414.272.7878 • FAX: 414.272.1806 • 740 North Plankinton Ave, Suite 400, Milwaukee, WI 53203 MADISON 608.268.0435 • FAX: 608.268.0437 • 14 West Mifflin Street, Suite 311, Madison, WI 53703

Innovation · Expertise · Integrity

```
1
                        MR. WASSERMAN: Lew Wasserman for
           Defendant Xavier Thicklen.
 2
 3
                        MR. LOEVY:
                                    There is another party in the
                  Can you identify him for the record, please.
 4
           room.
 5
                        MR. BOHL: I'll let him identify himself.
 6
                        EDWARD BAILEY:
                                        Inspector Edward Bailey,
 7
           B-as-in-boy-a-i-l-e-y, with the Milwaukee County
           Sheriff's Office.
 8
                        DAVID A. CLARKE, JR., called as a Party
 9
           herein, having been first duly sworn on oath, was
10
           examined and testified as follows:
11
                              EXAMINATION
12
13
     BY MR. LOEVY:
14
           What is your name?
     Q
15
     Α
           David A. Clarke, Jr.
16
           And what is your current employment?
17
     Α
           I'm the sheriff of Milwaukee County.
           How long have you served in that capacity?
18
     Q
19
           A little over 13 years.
20
           That's an elected position; is that correct?
21
           That's correct.
           When you first achieved that position, was it as a
2.2
23
           result of an election or an appointment?
           An appointment to fill out an unexpired term.
24
25
           What year was that, sir?
       Case 2:14-cv-00200-JPS Filed 10/21/16 Page 2 of 18 Document 145-3
```

be for the actual formulation of the policies, 1 orders, and directives? 2 3 Both. Α 4 Can you explain for us, please. Q 5 I have an inspector who is responsible for the Α 6 day-to-day operations of the jail. Is that a job title? Inspector? 7 Q Yes, sir. 8 Α 9 Q All right. 10 Α He has the authority to implement, to make policy. Captains within the jail have a lower level of 11 authority, to make policies within their area of 12 responsibility. They can't make overall, that might 13 affect some operation that they don't have authority 14 15 over. That would have to come from the inspector. But, I give my inspectors wide latitude 16 to make policy, implement policy. Sometimes they 17 know when they should have a discussion with me about 18 19 it, because it may impact public policy, may be a 20 media deal, and I want to weigh in on it. But, for 21 the most part I trust the people I have in those places, and let them go about doing their job. 2.2 23 Can the inspectors make policy that is inconsistent with the policy that you have formulated? 24 25 They can but then they are changing the policy, and Case 2:14-cv-00200-JPS Filed 10/21/16 Page 3 of 18 Document 145-3

1		that they would probably better have a discussion
2		with me about.
3	Q	And why had they better have a discussion with you?
4	А	Because I'm responsible in the end.
5	Q	And that would also be true of captains, correct?
6	A	The captain would be dealing with the deputy
7		inspector in the jail or the major, which is The
8		top ranking person in the confinement facility is the
9		major. They would have to discuss that with him.
10	Q	Since you've been sheriff, would it be fair to say
11		that there are written policies and directives?
12	А	Yes.
13	Q	What determines whether a given policy or directive
14		becomes written or is just transmitted orally?
15	А	If it is just transmitted, it is not a policy; that's
16		a directive.
17	Q	Okay.
18	А	The policy has to be written so people understand it,
19		that you can point to it. Policies are guidelines in
20		case they have questions about how we want things
21		done. There are certain aspects of their operations
22		that we want done a certain way, and we make that
23		very clear. But, there are things that they can
24		do they meaning the officers that work at the
25	_	jail under the supervision, but there's no real
l		se 2:14-cv-00200-JPS Filed 10/21/16 Page 4 of 18 Document 145-3

1		happens with every one.
2	Q	How many times in the calendar year that Thicklen's
3		case went to the District Attorney's office were
4		there other sheriff employees who had similar
5		Strike that, please.
6		How many other sheriff's employees in the
7		year before charges criminal charges were sent to
8		the District Attorney's office, how many other
9		sheriff's employees went through a similar process?
10	A	I don't know.
11	Q	20?
12	A	I don't know.
13	Q	30?
14	A	I don't know.
15	Q	100?
16	A	I don't know.
17	Q	It could have been 100 other of your employees who
18		had criminal charges sent to the District Attorney's
19		office during the same year as Thicklen?
20	А	I don't know.
21	Q	Okay. Could it have been as many as 200 such
22		employees?
23	A	I don't know.
24	Q	Okay. But it could have been 200; you don't know one
25		way or the other?
		se 2:14-cv-00200-JPS Filed 10/21/16 Page 5 of 18 Document 145-3

I don't know. 1 Α You don't know if it was more than 200 or less than 2 Q 3 200? 4 MR. BOHL: Object to the form of the question as argumentative. 5 6 BY MR. LOEVY: You don't know if it was more or less than 200 7 8 people? I don't know. 9 10 Would it be fair to say that you would be concerned if 200 of your employees had criminal charges sent to 11 the District Attorney's office? 12 MR. BOHL: Object to the form of the 13 14 It is a hypothetical question that doesn't question. give the sheriff enough facts to meaningfully address 15 the hypothetical. 16 17 MR. LOEVY: You can answer. Well, it would wipe out a good portion of my force. 18 19 BY MR. LOEVY: 20 I'm sorry? It would wipe out a good portion of my force; of 21 course it would be problematic. 2.2 23 Okay. But would that be the only reason it would be problematic? 24 25 MR. BOHL: Same objection. Case 2:14-cv-00200-JPS Filed 10/21/16 Page 6 of 18 Document 145-3

1 Q What was the nature of the inappropriate contact? I don't recall. 2 Α 3 Did you ever know what the inappropriate contact was, 4 and you just can't remember now? 5 Α Yes. 6 Or you can't remember if you ever knew? Q 7 I don't know -- I don't recall what it is today. Α 8 You knew at one time, correct? Q 9 Α Sure. 10 MR. BOHL: Objection. Argumentative. BY MR. LOEVY: 11 I'm just trying to find out, I mean. 12 Q 13 Α Sure. You knew at one time, right? 14 15 Α Sure. 16 Q Okay. You just can't -- And that's because one of 17 your inspectors told you, correct? Α Yes. 18 19 How many times did you learn that Okay. 20 Officer Thicklen was accused of inappropriate 21 contact? 2.2 I don't know. 23 Can't remember? I don't know that I ever knew. 24 25 You didn't ask how many times he had -- You didn't

Case 2:14-cv-00200-JPS Filed 10/21/16 Page 7 of 18 Document 145-3

ask -- Am I correct in saying you don't recall asking 1 your inspector how many times Thicklen had engaged in 2 3 inappropriate sexual conduct? Not necessarily do I recall. I don't know why I 4 Α 5 I might have, I might not have. would ask. 6 Wouldn't it -- In your position as sheriff with your Q 7 responsibility, as you put it, to the inmates, 8 wouldn't it have mattered to you whether it happened once or 10 times? 9 10 Α Nope. 11 0 Why not? 12 Α Because once is too many. I understand. 13 14 And I knew it was once at least. I don't need to Α know if it is 5, 6, 7, 8, 9. I may have asked, but 15 once would have been enough for me. 16 But if it happened 10 times, would it maybe have 17 Q indicated to you that there was some failure of your 18 19 policies? That if someone would do it multiple 20 times --21 No, there is rarely -- I was going to say never, but I try not to use that word. Rarely is it a failure 2.2 23 of policy; it is a failure of adherence by the employee to the policy. Don't blame the policy, 24 25 please. Case 2:14-cv-00200-JPS Filed 10/21/16 Page 8 of 18 Document 145-3

1	Q	Well, was there any policy with respect to a male
2		correctional officer being in an enclosed space for a
3		period of time with a female inmate?
4	А	Enclosed space?
5	Q	Enclosed space.
6	А	We We try to guard against that, but that's not
7		always possible; but, it depends on what the
8		situation is, where maybe we wouldn't allow that
9		situation.
10	Q	Why do you try to guard against it?
11	А	It keeps these kinds of allegations or these kind
12		of allegations from being made and these things
13		from taking place.
14	Q	And what, if anything, then did you adopt as sheriff
15		to prevent that from happening?
16	A	If it is a female, there should be another female
17		along. Sometimes it is not possible because of the
18		staffing, you don't have it, and there's not much you
19		can do; but, then you have to rely on in those
20		situations the integrity of the people that we have.
21		And when people go outside of that, that's not a
22		failure of the policy; it is a failure of the
23		individual.
24	Q	What is the policy Strike that, please.
25	_	What was the policy on or around April of
l		se 2:14-cv-00200-JPS Filed 10/21/16 Page 9 of 18 Document 145-3

1		2013 with respect to what the duties of a
2		correctional officer were in taking an inmate to the
3		infirmary?
4	A	You take the inmate to the infirmary. I don't know
5		what you mean by what's the policy.
6	Q	Well, could they stop along the way if the if the
7		medical facility was busy?
8	A	I think it is a good example of how you can't put
9		everything into the policy. You don't put stuff that
10		you can't stop along the way and you must put your
11		left foot in front of your right foot first. You
12		know, you take the person directly there. We
13		transport people within the facility and you take
14		them there. We don't put in don't stop, don't
15		pick up if you drop your key, don't pick it up.
16		That's not what policies are for. They
17		are guidelines. Get the person to the infirmary,
18		bring them back.
19	Q	Okay. So it would be fair to say, am I correct, that
20		one of the duties of a correctional officer would be
21		to escort or take an inmate to the medical facility?
22		Is that correct?
23	A	That's correct.
24	Q	That's part of their job?
25	A	Yes.
	Cas	e 2:14-cv-00200-JPS Filed 10/21/16 Page 10 of 18 Document 145-3

	,,		· uge of
1	А	He does.	
2	Q	So if he tells you I'm going to work two hours a	
3		week, okay with you?	
4	А	I don't know.	
5	Q	Okay.	
6	А	He is responsible for the medical stuff.	
7	Q	And you are not?	
8	А	He is not there 24/7 but he is still responsible.	
9		Like me, I'm not there 24/7 but I'm still responsible	
10		24/7. So if there's a medical issue that comes up	
11		that got screwed up, he's got some explaining to do.	
12	Q	Who does he explain it to?	
13	А	Inspector Schmidt.	
14	Q	And Inspector Schmidt reports to you. All right.	
15		Now, someone One of the	
16		responsibilities, am I correct in saying, of a	
17		correctional officer is to take prisoners	
18		inmates excuse me to either the clinic or the	
19		infirmary; is that correct?	
20	A	Yes.	
21	Q	And how does the correctional officer learn that this	
22		is part of his responsibility and/or obligation?	
23	A	He is given or she is given directive. The	
24		prisoner has to be taken from there over to here to	
25		go do this, and go do it.	
		e 2:14-cv-00200-JPS	10-7222

That they might want to come with some sort of

Case 2:14-cv-00200-JPS Filed 10/21/16 Page 12 of 18 Document 145-3

25

But if a CO -- If a corrections officer 1 were to do that, I don't know why they would. 2 3 asked me what reason. I don't know. I would have to ask them, why did you move them from here to there. 4 5 Could they have as a reason, for example, to make Q 6 inquiry as to where contraband was? 7 Say that again, please. Α Could they, for example, do it for the purpose of 8 Q making inquiry, asking where contraband was? 9 10 Α They could, yes. Could they do it for the purpose of asking if they 11 had some complaints about another correctional 12 officer? 13 14 I don't know why you need to move the inmate for Α 15 that, but maybe. Well, so it wouldn't be -- so other people wouldn't 16 Q 17 hear. Could be, yes. 18 Α 19 Are the -- At any time since you've been sheriff, are 20 the correctional officers allowed to use tasers? 21 Yes. Α Inside the jail? 2.2 23 Α Yes. Is it a part of their normal or every-day -- Well, 24 25 strike that, please. Case 2:14-cv-00200-JPS Filed 10/21/16 Page 13 of 18 Document 145-3

1 Is it part of their normal or every-day practice to carry tasers? 2 3 Α Yes. 4 And are the tasers issued to them at the beginning of Q 5 a shift? 6 Α I don't recall. They are not allowed to take the tasers home? 7 Q I don't recall. 8 Α 9 Is there a record kept at the jail of every taser 10 usage? 11 Α Yes. And so if a taser is discharged, there's a record as 12 Q to how long the usage was for, correct? 13 14 Α Yes. 15 Okay. Who is in charge of that? The supervisor on the shift at the time. 16 Α 17 Is there any restriction among either the Q correctional officers or when there are sheriff's 18 19 deputies in the jail -- any restriction on who could 20 or who could not carry a taser? A taser gun. What do you mean, restriction? 21 I'm sorry. Well, Mr. -- Officer A, you can have a taser. B and 2.2 23 C, you haven't worked here long enough, you can't have a taser. That kind of thing? 24 25 No, there is no restriction on that. Not to my Case 2:14-cv-00200-JPS Filed 10/21/16 Page 14 of 18 Document 145-3

1		knowledge.
2	Q	Your expectation is that every correctional officer
3		would carry a taser?
4	A	For the most part, yes.
5	Q	Do you know of any exceptions?
6	A	No.
7	Q	As to when they would not be allowed to carry a
8		taser?
9	A	It is not a matter of not being allowed. It is a
10		defensive weapon.
11	Q	Sure.
12	A	And, everybody working in there should be able to
13		defend themselves. It may rise to that level. So I
14		wouldn't say It isn't my rule or my directive that
15		certain people not carry them.
16	Q	Okay. Are they required to carry tasers? The
17		correctional officer.
18	A	Yes. It is part of their equipment.
19	Q	Yeah. Has that been the case since you've been the
20		sheriff?
21	A	No.
22	Q	When did that policy or rule go into effect?
23	A	I don't recall. It was after I'm the one that
24	Q	Instituted it?
25	A	Yes, sir.
		e 2:14-cv-00200-JPS Filed 10/21/16 Page 15 of 18 Document 145-3

All right. You never charged him with sexual

Case 2:14-cv-00200-JPS Filed 10/21/16 Page 16 of 18 Document 145-3

25

1		misconduct, though, did you? You didn't.
2	А	I don't charge anybody.
3	Q	You could have had him fired right on the spot,
4		couldn't you?
5	A	No, I could not.
6	Q	You could have asked that he be fired? You described
7		the process to us earlier.
8	А	Through the employee discipline?
9	Q	When You could have asked the detectives, am I
10		correct in saying, did he engage in conduct that you
11		have evidence of that could result in his discharge?
12	А	No, I could not have asked that.
13	Q	Why not?
14	А	Because I don't talk to the detectives.
15	Q	You could have asked your inspector who had talked to
16		the detectives, do they have evidence of misconduct
17		that could have resulted that could result in his
18		discharge?
19	А	No, I wouldn't ask that question.
20	Q	Why not?
21	А	We weren't at that stage.
22	Q	What do you have to do other than have your
23		detectives investigate misconduct, sexual misconduct,
24		that could result in discharge? What else needed to
25		be done?
Į		e 2:14-cv-00200-JPS Filed 10/21/16 Page 17 of 18 Document 145-3

1 But he doesn't show me reports, and I don't ask for reports, because I have delegated that 2 3 function to him and therefore I need to give him the authority to make those decisions; but, I'm still 4 responsible for the decisions and -- regardless, so 5 6 he knows which ones he should come to me about. 7 And my question is a little different, though. Q 8 you receive any reports that indicated in the year 2015 we've had six sustained, nine non-sustained? 9 10 Anything like that? 11 Α Nothing. Any reports on there have been 10 accusations or 12 Q 20 accusations, so you could compare one year to the 13 other even if they are not sustained? 14 15 Α No, we don't. Any reports on whether the same officer has received 16 multiple complaints, even if they are not sustained? 17 That would come up under our, um -- What do we call 18 19 it? There is a system that we have. I think it is 20 an actual software system, that we are red flagged if 21 certain employees -- things are coming up. What is it called? Some sort of early 2.2 alert. 23 warning system or something. We have that in place. And is that something that you are made aware of? 24 25 That would be Inspector Schmidt. Case 2:14-cv-00200-JPS Filed 10/21/16 Page 18 of 18 Document 145-3

Page 130